

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/789,019 | 02/27/2004 | Jochen Goerlitzer | DEAV2003/0017 US NP | 8090 | |
| 5487 7 | 7590 07/31/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| ROSS J. OEHLER | | | ANDERSON, REBECCA L | | |
| SANOFI-AVE | ENTSI U.S. LLC | | | | |
| 1041 ROUTE 202-206 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| MAIL CODE: D303A | | | 1626 | | |
| BRIDGEWATER, NJ 08807 | | | DATE MAILED: 07/31/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 10/789,019 | GOERLITZER ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Rebecca L. Anderson | 1626 | | |

| | Rebecca L. Anderson | 1626 | |
|--|--|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with t | he correspondence add | iress |
| THE REPLY FILED 12 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL | ICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | • |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | ving replies: (1) an amendmen tice of Appeal (with appeal fee | t, affidavit, or other evide) in compliance with 37 C | ncé, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | ater than SIX MONTHS from the m | ailing date of the final reject | ion. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 | 06.07(f). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amore chortened statutory period for reply than three months after the mailin | ount of the fee. The approprioring originally set in the final Off | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) a |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal of th | hs of the date of ne appeal. Since |
| AMENDMENTS | · | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core | nsideration and/or search (see | | ecause |
| (b) They are not deemed to place the application in both | | | Ale e de esse e de e |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by material | y reducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c | corresponding number of finally | reiected claims. | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | • | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See attached Notice of Nor | -Compliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | · | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ ided below or appended. | will be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8 The affidavit or other avidence filed after a final action, but | hofore on an the date of filling | - Al-Mas -£ A1 | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | sufficient reasons why the aff | a Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> davit or other evidence is | ot be entered s necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under ap and was not earlier presented | ppeal and/or appellant fai . See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ls to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | of the status of the claims after | er entry is below or attach | ned. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application | on in condition for allowar | nce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (I 13. ☒ Other: See Continuation Sheet. | PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pap | er No(s) | , _ |
| | | SECH K MCKANE | ing |
| | SUPERVI | SORY PATENT EXAMINE | R |

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Continuation of 13. Other: the evidence submitted, i.e. the translation of the forein priority document along with the statement that the translation of the certified copy is accurate, is not entered since it would create new issues (35 USC 112, first paragraph issues of the method claims) that are necessitated by applicant's submission (that would make the product allowable and necessitate rejoinder of the methods) and applicants' request for rejoinder, see the applicants' remarks, page 9, filed 8/22/2005, wherein applicant request rejoinder The submission raises new issues because the method claims, if rejoined, would raise 35 USC 112 1st paragrap issues.